

November 17, 1983

Route 1, Box 52  
Lucedale, Mississippi 39452  
Phone: (601) 947-7147

President Ronald Reagan  
The White House  
Washington, D. C. 20025

Dear Mr. President:

This letter is written with literally years of experienced knowledge that I will probably receive no responsible, exacting actions relative to this request.

You will be the fifth President I have written regarding a New Source of Energy and the fifth President which I have asked to help me help the people of this country and the people of the world.

For over 18 years I have stood alone against a closed-minded scientific community and great odds.

My Government has given me absolutely no help, but to the contrary, has stood in my way and still continues to do so at the level of the U.S. Patent Office.

I now have several working prototypes of this New Energy Invention!

Please find enclosed copy of a letter I sent to President Johnson, dated July 31, 1967.

Also a copy of a letter, dated July 30, 1982, I sent to Mr. Paul Gomory (Expert Advisor on Patent Law for the Judiciary Committee of the Congress) and a copy of Mr. Gomory's reply to my letter which he sent to Mr. Ralph Oman, Staff Director, Criminal Law Subcommittee, Committee of the Judiciary.

Also find enclosed copy of my listing in the Physics Section of Who's Who In Technology, 1980 Edition, and numerous copies of letters from credible Scientific Individuals concerning

President Ronald Reagan  
Page two  
November 17, 1983

my scientific work, even before I built these prototypes, and which refers to a Scientific Document (Now 130 pages long), which puts forth a Unified Field Theory on all Matter. And copies of letters from credible business associates concerning my honesty and integrity and inventive ability.

Most important, find enclosed a VHS tape of news coverages made by Mr. Garland Robinette of WWL-Television (C.B.S.) of New Orleans. There are eight news coverages and one human interest coverage on this tape. Mr. Robinette has conducted himself in a most scientific manner relative to the facts on which he has reported, he has taken nothing for granted, but checked out all facts. Any others who give an opinion disagreeing on this matter, without doing equally as well, only reflect poorly on themselves and does an injustice to the peoples of the United States of America and the peoples of this world. I recommend that you view this tape before you pass this information down to some lower office as has always been the case in years past. This tape is approximately 30 minutes long.

My patent attorney is Mr. Emmett Pugh of New Orleans. Phone Area Code 504 - 581-2526.

Mr. Paul Gomory can be reached at Area Code 301-320-4327.

Mr. Robinette can be reached at Area Code 504-529-6300.

Patent Officials of U.S. Patent Office have deliberately ignored the requirements of the Patent Law Procedure relative to their own actions relative to the issuance of this Pioneering Patent on a New Energy Source.

I wish for the Commissioner of Patents to have to explain the actions of U.S. Patent Office, with me present, in front of the Judiciary Committee or the President.


I ask that you, Mr. President, have someone of honest integrity check out these facts in an exacting, scientific manner and to enact responsible actions accordingly.

I still stand alone, no doubt I will have to continue to do so, until all is accomplished as a result of my efforts. How sad that millions of others suffer in the mean time and the world stands on the threshold of total destruction.

President Ronald Reagan  
Page three  
November 17, 1983

This invention will be the cause of the Industrial Revolution all over again, except at a greatly accelerated rate, and will do more to reduce the possibility of war than any and all other means existing at this time, space travel will become a true reality, and will touch and excite all aspects of Science and will open many doors to the mind that have been closed before this time.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joseph Westley Newman". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Joseph Westley Newman

JWN/en

Enclosures

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

March 21, 1984

Dear Mr. Newman:

On behalf of President Reagan, I want to thank you for your letter and enclosures.

The President appreciates the time you have taken to bring your invention to his attention. However, I am sorry that this must be a disappointing response to your request. So many similar requests are received that it just is not possible to comply. I hope you will understand.

With the President's best wishes,

Sincerely,



Anne Higgins  
Special Assistant to the President  
and Director of Correspondence

Mr. Joseph Westley Newman  
Route 1, Box 52  
Lucedale, MS 39452

VIDEO REVIEW

Name of Sender: Joseph W. Newman

ADDRESS: RT 1, Box 52 Lucedale, Miss 39452

Date Received by the White House: 11-21-83

DATE REVIEWED: 3-3-84 INITIALS OF VIEWER: GTMK

VIDEO SENT TO: RR/NR/ WHO? R.R.

Summary: A new energy source - Electro -  
magnetic device - John Stennis requested  
funding - needs help to get US Patent  
Some Scientists believe this invention  
could change the world as it converts  
mass into energy - runs on it's own energy.  
W.W.L TV - channel 4, New Orleans gave it  
excellent coverage.

If nothing unusual send directly to Lilie Bell, Room 58. Make a copy for JCD's file.

✓ Special cases to Chuck Donovan, Room 94.

Problems, i.e. threats etc. send to Secret Service Room 45 via Bob Gallagher.

Keep original form with video.

Anything intended as a gift, i.e. a professional production, Bible tapes, send directly to the Gift Unit, Room 457.



# SOUTHERN FASTENER CORPORATION

1641 CONCEPTION STREET ROAD  
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36610

March 12, 1979

SUBJECT: Mr. Joe Newman the Man

Dear Sirs:

My business is manufacturing and distributing products for public use. Business for the past thirty years has enabled me to study and work with many groups of people.

Before any product can be produced someone with imagination, ingenuity, a lot of patience and determination must develop a useful and marketable item.

I have had the privilege of knowing several men who are scientist, physicist, or just inventors. Mr. Joe Newman is one of these men. I have known him about twelve years. During this time he has devoted his time, knowledge and energy to producing useful items for public service.

My impression has been from the beginning and remained constant through the years, that Mr. Newman has one objective foremost in all his actions. That objective has been and is to prove a theory and make it available to mankind which will provide and harness an abundant source of energy, which according to his theories and experiments is available to all mankind.

I am convinced he is honest, sincere and dedicated to his aims and is worthy of all considerations any individual can give.

This information and these opinions are written because I recognize the great, great need the world has for new energy sources and because I know the attitudes and dedications Mr. Newman has demonstrated through the years I have known him.

Sincerely,



Dennis A. Moore

SOUTHERN FASTENER CORPORATION

DAM/5

*Mr. Moore is also  
Head of Mobile Water  
Board and a director  
of Mobile College.*

☎ (205) 457-5382



171

THE ENERGY MACHINE OF JOSEPH NEWMAN





*McConnell Cadillac, Inc.*

P. O. DRAWER 16508 • 3060 DAUPHIN STREET

*Mobile, Alabama 36616*

TELEPHONE 205 • 471-6161

July 13, 1979

To Whom It May Concern:

I have had a business and personal relationship with Joe Newman for the past two years.

As a businessman, I can attest to his honesty and complete fairness in all of his business dealings.

From a standpoint of our personal relationship, I find him to be a very warm and considerate person of extreme honesty.

My overall opinion of Joe is that he has an extremely keen mind. Any subject or matter that he becomes interested in he will devote untold hours of study and research in his quest for the truth.

As an example, I know that he has spent years in his research to produce a source of unlimited energy. I personally believe that there is great merit to his findings. I only regret that I am not in a position to join him in the development of his research.

Sincerely yours,

  
J.V. McConnell, Pres.


July 1979

To Whom It May Concern:

I have known Joe Newman for about 29 years. During this period of time I have had the opportunity to discuss far reaching subjects from energy to economics. Joe Newman has always impressed me as being an honest and truthful man; more so than most of the people I know or have known.

For the past ten to twelve years I know that Joe has been involved with formulating theories concerning a source of unlimited energy. I have had the opportunity to discuss with him and read his theories and dissertations and for the most part they have been beyond my engineering practicum. However, I am impressed with what I understand with the theories and am acutely aware of this Nations energy dilemma. Therefore, I heartily recommend that Mr. Newman be given full leeway in exercising his theories for the sake of our Countrys future wellbeing.

Jim Wilson

  
Registered Professional Engineer

*Mr. Wilson is a very capable engineer with the Ala. State High Dept. and was the chief engineer in the building of the twin tunnel on I-10 under Mobile River.*





# MOBILE INHALATION THERAPY

POST OFFICE BOX 6712 • 166 LOUISELLE ST. • TELEPHONE 433-3905  
MOBILE, ALABAMA 36606

October 6, 1977

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I have known Joe Newman for the past eleven years. During this time we have developed a trusted business and personal relationship.

As a result of this relationship, I have been privileged to see him encounter numerous mechanical and scientific problems. Using his own creative ability he has developed solutions to each of these problems. More frequently than not, so quickly and simply that is has not only amazed me, but others who were specilists in the respective fields, including a number of individuals holding Masters, and P.H.D. degrees in engineering, science and mathematics.

With reference to Mr. Newmans character, from my own personal observations and others with whom we both have had contact, I find his honesty and integrity to be impeccable.

Most sincerely,

MOBILE INHALATION THERAPY

Ralston C. Reynolds  
President and General Manager

RCR/md



P.O. DRAWER 44  
ATLANTIC BEACH  
FLORIDA 32233  
904-246-6711

October 4, 1977

Mr. Joe Newman  
1521 Deerwood Dr. E.  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Joe:

In days past we, the people at Scapa Dryers, Inc., have contacted you to help us with a problem that has been in existence for a long time. Your help in solving this problem through your inventive ability, which has been known to me for the past five years, made me confident enough (as you know) to recommend you to Scapa to help solve the dryer felt seam separation at each edge of the felt.

I am sure that the people at Scapa have your name on file and will be contacting you on any future mechanical problems. Thanks again for your help.

Best personal regards,

JOHN B. CHANDLER COMPANY

Pete A. Rodriguez

PAR:scm



309 williamson avenue

opelika, alabama 36801

ph: (205) 749-9001


diversified products corporation

September 29, 1977

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I have known Joe Newman personally for 15 years during which time he has worked for us on numerous occasions as a trouble shooter of mechanical problems dealing with machinery and equipment from conceptual, design and production standpoints. Joe is the best I have ever seen in this regard with tremendous creative and inventive abilities.

Joe Newman is a man of integrity and complete honesty. I recommend him highly to find solutions for the tough and unusual mechanical problems of any nature.

  
Joe James, Jr.  
President

LICENSED



DEALER

*World's Largest Transmission Specialists*

2525 FOWLER STREET, FT. MYERS, FLORIDA 33901 • (813) 334-7776

October 3, 1977

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I have known Joe Newman, first professionally then personally, for over ten years. During this period, I have been continually amazed at his creativity and inventiveness towards mechanical problems and theory. When given a mechanical problem and objective, Newman will bring them together.

I have complete confidence in Joe Newman's ethics and honesty as well as his creative ability. As an engineer and mechanical businessman, it is not easy to impress me as Joe has.

*Lindsey Burhans*  
Lindsey Burhans, President

In 1967, sent 25 page Scientific Document to all the 56 following colleges' Astronomy and Physics Departments:

COLLEGE	DEPT. HEAD OF PHYSICS	DEPT. HEAD OF ASTRONOMY
University of Alabama (Dr. Lear) Tuscaloosa, Alabama	1	
Stanford University Stanford, California	1	
University of California Berkeley 4, California	1	1
University of California Los Angeles, California	1	
California Institute of Technology 1201 East Calif. Street Pasadena 4, California	1	1
University of Southern California Los Angeles 7, California	1	
University of Colorado Boulder, Colorado	1	1
University of Connecticut Storrs, Connecticut	1	
Yale University New Haven, Connecticut	1	1
Catholic University of America Washington 17, D.C.	1	
Georgetown University Washington 7, D.C.	1	1
Florida State University Tallahassee, Florida	1	
University of Florida Gainesville, Florida	1	
Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta 13, Georgia	1	
Illinois Institute of Technology Chicago 16, Illinois	1	
Northwestern University Evanston and Chicago, Illinois	1	1
University of Chicago Chicago 37, Illinois	1	1

COLLEGE	DEPT. HEAD OF PHYSICS	DEPT. HEAD OF ASTRONOMY
University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois	1	
Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana	1	1
Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana	1	
University of Notre Dame Notre Dame, Indiana	1	
Iowa State University of Science and Technology Ames, Iowa	1	
State University of Iowa Iowa City, Iowa	1	
University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas	1	
Louisiana State University Baton Rouge 3, Louisiana	1	
Johns Hopkins University Baltimore 18, Maryland	1	
University of Maryland College Park, Maryland	1	
Harvard University Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge 39, Massachusetts	1	
Radcliffe College Cambridge 38, Massachusetts	1	1
Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan	1	
University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan	1	1
University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1	
Washington University St. Louis 30, Missouri	1	

## COLLEGE

DEPT. HEAD OF  
PHYSICSDEPT. HEAD OF  
ASTRONOMY

Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	1	1
Rutgers - The State University New Brunswick, New Jersey	1	
Columbia University New York 27, New York	1	1
Cornell University Ithaca, New York	1	
New York University New York 3, New York	1	
University of Rochester Rochester 20, New York	1	
Duke University Durham, North Carolina	1	
University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina	1	
Case Institute of Technology Cleveland 6, Ohio	1	1
Ohio State University Columbus 10, Ohio	1	
Carnegie Institute of Technology Pittsburg 13, Pennsylvania	1	
Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania	1	
University of Pennsylvania Philadelphia 4, Pennsylvania	1	1
University of Pittsburg Pittsburg 21, Pennsylvania	1	
Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island	1	
University of Tennessee Knoxville 16, Tennessee	1	
Rice University Houston 1 Texas	1	

COLLEGE	DEPT. HEAD OF PHYSICS	DEPT. HEAD OF ASTRONOMY
University of Texas Austin, Texas	1	
University of Utah Salt Lake City 12, Utah	1	
University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia	1	1
University of Washington Seattle 5, Washington	1	
University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin	1	1





NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
GEORGE C. MARSHALL SPACE FLIGHT CENTER  
HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA 35812

IN REPLY REFER TO:

PA

August 31, 1967

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for providing us with the opportunity of examining your communication concerning various scientific matters. In reading your document, we found it interesting and thought provoking.

With respect to your request for specific information about space rockets that have veered off course, please let me say that this information is not available at the Marshall Center. More than 600 space vehicles have been launched to date. Some of these launchings were conducted by civilian agencies and others by military organizations. Some were launched by Russia, France, England and Italy, as well as by the United States. So I am sure you can readily understand why the information you requested is not kept locally.


In the paper you forwarded to us you discuss a wide range of subjects, including magnetism, electricity, relativity, friction, celestial mechanics, gravity and means to achieve perpetual motion. In an effort to help you receive competent advice in the variety of areas you are interested in, and because we do not have experts in all of these fields, I suggest that you may wish to contact a special group that NASA Headquarters has established to receive and evaluate new proposals and ideas related to space research. It is the:

Board of Inventions and Contributions  
NASA Headquarters  
Washington, D. C. 20546

Again, let me say that we are glad that you are interested in our space program, and we appreciate your kindness in first submitting your ideas to us.

We wish you much success in your studies.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Foster Haley". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Foster Haley  
Deputy Chief of Public Affairs

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

TALLAHASSEE 32306

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

August 14, 1967

904

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

I have read the document which you sent to the Physics Department.

It shows a great deal of imagination but also shows that you are unfamiliar with a vast amount of work which has been done and is being done in the fields of electro-dynamics and mechanics. I would strongly suggest that you read some standard texts in electricity and magnetism such as CLASSICAL ELECTRODYNAMICS by Jackson and INTRODUCTION TO ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND WAVES by Corson and Lorrain.

If you could then relate your work to the standard body of accepted and well tested physical theory it would have a much better chance of being heeded.

Sincerely,



Edward A. Desloge  
Associate Professor

EAD:pw

1-904-385-3031

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

August 10, 1967


Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

President Johnson has referred your letter of July 31, 1967 to the National Science Foundation for reply. The Foundation is a Federal agency whose principal mission is support of basic research and education in the sciences. I would like to thank you on behalf of the President for bringing your thoughts on scientific matters to the attention of the Government.

I have referred your paper to the Physics Section of our Mathematical and Physical Sciences Division for further review. You may expect to hear from them after they have had an opportunity to study it.

Sincerely yours,



Randal M. Robertson  
Associate Director (Research)

THE PHYSICAL REVIEW  
AND  
PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

(PUBLISHED FOR THE AMERICAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY, S.A. GOUDSMIT, MANAGING EDITOR)

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY, UPTON, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK 11973  
AREA CODE 516, YAPHANK 4-6262, EXT. 2341

*The Physical Review*

S. PASTERNAK, Editor  
A. HERSCHMAN, Editor (on leave)  
A.W.K. METZNER, Acting Editor  
J.C. FINEMAN, Assistant to the Editors

*Physical Review Letters*

S.A. GOUDSMIT, Editor  
G.L. TRIGG, Editor  
M.J. FLEMING, Publication Manager

18 April 1967

Dr. Joe W. Newman  
General Delivery  
Webster, Texas

Dear Dr. Newman:

We regret to inform you that your manuscript "The Universe" is not considered suitable for publication in The Physical Review. We are therefore returning it herewith.

Yours sincerely,



S. Pasternack  
Editor

SP:cc  
Enc.



DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

August 24, 1967

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

This is simply a note to acknowledge receipt of your document regarding the laws of electricity and magnetism.

Thus far no one on the faculty has given it a critical review. If we find it desirable to communicate further with you, you may be assured that we will do so upon the earliest occasion of interest.

Sincerely yours

Burton J. Moyer  
Chairman

bjm:mlm

DEPARTMENT OF ASTRONOMY  
HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
60 GARDEN STREET  
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02138

7 August 1967

Mr. Joe E. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

This is in regard to the Scientific Document which we received from you today along with your request for comments.

Unfortunately your request has come at a very bad time of the year and I am sorry to say that the members of our staff who could review your document are not generally available during the summer months. I am therefore returning your paper with the hope that you will be able to find someone who could give more prompt attention to your request.

Sincerely yours,

*Ruth Mandalian*

Ruth Mandalian  
Administrative Secretary

RM:sw

Enclosures

P.S. Since Radcliffe College does not have a separate astronomy department, the document which you sent them has been forwarded to us, and is also enclosed.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
BOULDER, COLORADO 80302


August 8, 1967

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

We in the Department of Physics and Astrophysics receive so many letters, pamphlets, and books that it is impossible for us to acknowledge each one individually. I therefore want to acknowledge your writing by means of this form letter.

Sincerely,



Wesley E. Brittin  
Chairman

WEB/j



NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS


August 14, 1967

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

I have just received your 25 page Scientific Document which you sent me August 3, 1967. I will try to see if anyone here is interested in your work and will send any comments to you.

Sincerely yours,



Arthur J. Freeman  
Chairman

AJF:eh

October 11, 1967

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

I apologize for the long delay in responding to your request for my opinion on your document relating to the LAWS OF MAGNETISM AND ELECTRICITY GOVERNING THE MOVEMENT OF BODIES IN THE UNIVERSE. Since my area of specialty is electromagnetic theory, I must disqualify myself as an expert in astrophysics, and suggest that it would be more appropriate if you would consult a specialist in this area. Perhaps someone in the Physics Department of the University of South Alabama would be willing to give his opinion on your work. I must confess to considerable satisfaction personally with the laws of Kepler, Newton and Einstein as they have been applied by engineers and scientists to predict the motion of bodies in space, including the motion of free charged particle in high vacuum. It is very difficult, too, to argue with the success that has been evident in our various space probes.

In any event, all of these laws are only models which we use to attempt to explain what happens in nature, but they don't tell us why these things happen. For example, in your discussions you use the terms, "magnetic flux" and "magnetic lines of force" many times to explain the actions of bodies in motion. As I'm sure you will agree, there is no such thing as a magnetic line of force, this being simply a model which is used to attempt to explain something which happens. The key question, it seems to me, is why do we have this thing which we call "magnetic force" when two charged particles are in motion relative to one another. It is the attempt to answer questions such as this that present us with what I feel are our most intriguing fields of inquiry.

Again, thank you for letting me read your document and I am sorry I do not feel qualified to comment on the points at which you feel you are in disagreement with existing theory.


Sincerely yours,



W. Edward Lear  
Dean

WEL:vk

cc: Mr. John Tyson



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

JAN 21 1969

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
3062 Pickell Drive  
Mobile, Alabama 36605

Dear Mr. Newman:

It was nice to talk with you and Mr. Giddens a few days ago on the phone and to receive your letter of January 7 and its two attachments, namely:

Article from Mobile Press Register, "What is Gravity?"  
Manuscript, "For the sake of mankind and in the interest of science."

When I got the material I sent it to members of our scientific staff for review. They examined it and returned it promptly to me without making any substantive comments. They did point out so far as the Atomic Energy Commission is concerned the documents discuss matters outside our purview.

The impression I have from my examination is that your material, while interesting to read, is based on a general philosophical approach to the universe rather than on a detailed account of nature and its interrelationships.

You told me on the phone that you were having difficulty finding someone to publish your material. This is not surprising for really there are very few philanthropic individuals or organizations set up to finance the cost of publishing documents such as yours. Many scientific societies (for example the American Physical Society) even assess page charges to the authors of papers published in their journals. They are even highly selective in the actual documents in their journals because the members of the societies have their own ideas on what they want to preserve by publication.

Thanks for giving me the opportunity to examine the enclosed documents. I hope you find my remarks helpful, that certainly is my intent. Please give my very best regards to Mr. Giddens.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Paul W. McDaniel".

Paul W. McDaniel, Director  
Division of Research

Enclosures:  
Two documents noted above.



VOICE OF AMERICA  
UNITED STATES INFORMATION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20547

November 9, 1970

Dear Joe:

Please mail a copy of your Moon article to Dr. Velikovsky, 78 Hartley Avenue, Princeton, New Jersey 08540. Thought it might interest him.

Hope your affairs are going well.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Kenneth R. Giddens", written in dark ink.

Kenneth R. Giddens  
Director

Mr. F. J. Newman, Jr.  
1454 Government Street  
Mobile, Alabama

MANUEL VELIKOVSKY  
72 HARTLEY AVENUE  
SPRINGTOWN, N. J. 08540

Nov. 30. 70

Dear Mr Newman:

I have received your typescript. Being at this time greatly pressed by urgent work I could only cursorily read it. It contains quite a few themes discussed by me partly in print and partly in correspondence. I shall take the liberty of mailing it to a friend and ask him to express his opinion.

But titling your paper "For the Sake of Mankind and in the Interest of Science" you do not display the proper taste and outspouse any scientist before he may have the chance to look into the content of your paper.

Very sincerely yours,  
M. Velikovsky

DR. MANUEL VELIKOVSKY  
72 HARTLEY AVENUE  
SPRINGTOWN, N. J. 08540

# NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT  
2101 CONSTITUTION AVENUE  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20418

August 5, 1974

## PERSONAL

Mr. Kenneth R. Giddens, Director  
Voice of America  
U.S. Information Agency  
Washington, D. C. 20547

Dear Ken:

Referring to your personal letter of July 9, I really do not feel that Mr. Newman has achieved any new insights or notable experimental results, nor that his conclusions would withstand detailed scrutiny in the light of facts that have been irrefutably established by long and painstaking investigations.

Of course, the standard procedure for scientific publication would involve his first sending his paper to the editor of an appropriate scientific journal. If the editor felt that the paper might have any substantial merit, he would normally have it read by two or three highly qualified scientists in relevant fields, who would recommend for or against its acceptance for publication.

Mr. Newman can find a wide selection of scientific journals in any good university library, and can himself determine which he thinks closest to his interests.

To you, however, I should make it clear that I hold out no hope that his ideas would be found to embody anything publishable.

PERSONAL

Mr. Kenneth R. Giddens, Director  
August 5, 1974  
Page Two

Woods Hole does have its advantages over Washington, whether one is vacationing or working here, or, as I am, doing both. Do come this way and try it out.

With best regards,

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Phil".

Philip Handler  
President

PERSONAL

Mr. Kenneth R. Giddens, Director  
August 5, 1974  
Page Two

Woods Hole does have its advantages over Washington, whether one is vacationing or working here, or, as I am, doing both. Do come this way and try it out.

With best regards.

Sincerely yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Phil".

Philip Handler  
President





NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20546



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF:

June 18, 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055


Dear Mr. Newman:

Your letter to the President concerning magnetic and electrical fields has been referred to my office for reply.

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration, in supporting the National energy program, welcomes the opportunity to consider recommendations which may lead to the early and effective application of additional energy sources. Your letter has been passed to our technical staff for consideration. Should additional information be desired you will be contacted directly.

Thank you for your interest and your effort in this vital issue.

Sincerely,

*for*   
Harrison H. Schmitt

Assistant Administrator for  
Energy Programs

No Further Comments



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
LYNDON B. JOHNSON SPACE CENTER  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77058

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF:

CB

April 24, 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, TX 77055

Hello Joe:

Thanks for the water see-saw. The kids had a lot of fun.  
The water was too cold for me. Ha!

Your plans on the torso trimmer are enclosed. We only have one more flight until Shuttle, and we don't plan to change our exercise machine for this flight. It also looks like it would have to be modified to be useful and lighter for space flight. Maybe when the time comes we can use it on Shuttle flights.

A copy of your continuation of hypothesis is enclosed with my comments. I hope you can expand your creative ideas so that they can help explain some more of the quote "scientifically accepted and proven theories" of magnetism and gravity.


Best personal regards till we meet again.

Sincerely,

Ronald E. Evans  
Captain, USN  
NASA Astronaut

Enclosures

*Capt. Evans has orbited  
the moon.*



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

MAR 14 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055

Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for your letter of March 8, 1974, concerning articles you plan to send to the scientific community.

The Atomic Energy Commission does not undertake any direct evaluation of independent scientific treatises because the vast number of such independent researches carried out in the world today has made it necessary for all fundamental scientific work to undergo an evaluation by the scientific community.


Thank you for sharing your thoughts with us. We wish you success in your future publication efforts.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "D. R. Miller". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial "D" and a long, sweeping underline.

D. R. Miller, Deputy Director  
Division of Physical Research

P.S. You may be interested to learn that since your last correspondence, Dr. P. W. McDaniel has retired from the AEC.



UNITED STATES  
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

MAY 21 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055

Dear Mr. Newman:

I have looked at the material you submitted with your letter of May 15, 1974, and have reviewed again our previous correspondence. I am afraid that my conclusion is that I have nothing to add to my previous statements and suggestions.

Your persistence is understandable, for your objective of convincing the scientific community of the correctness of your hypothesis requires it. Have you discussed your ideas with working scientists specializing in the areas in which you are concerned?

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "D. R. Miller". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

D. R. Miller, Deputy Director  
Division of Physical Research

FEDERAL ENERGY OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20461

MAY 20 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055


Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for your recent letter to President Nixon concerning energy research and development that has been suggested as having the potential to contribute to an easing of the energy situation.

Because the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has special expertise in this matter, I am forwarding your letter to their office for consideration.

For your information, I am enclosing an energy research and development fact sheet, an energy definitions list that appeared in the Congressional Record, and an enumeration of energy research and development budget recommendations for fiscal year 1975 that may be of interest to you.

Sincerely,



Robert H. Shatz  
Assistant Administrator  
Energy Resource Development

Enclosures



*Save Energy and You Serve America!*

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

MAY 13 1974


Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055

Dear Mr. Newman:

Your letter of March 29, 1974 addressed to President Richard M. Nixon, together with a copy of your paper on "Hypothesis that the Word 'Gravity' is the Effect of Magnetic and Electric Fields of Force," has been referred to this office for a scientific reply.

An identical letter was received by the Assistant Director for Research, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. Therefore, a copy of the Foundation's reply to your letter, dated April 30, 1974, is transmitted herewith.

Sincerely yours,



Edward P. Todd  
Deputy Assistant Director  
for Research

Enclosure

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

April 30, 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055


Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for your letter of March 29, 1974. Since we have no record of having received your March 5, 1974 letter, I will only comment on the material included in pages 17-22 of your document.

Your theory does not appear to challenge the validity of calculations based on the accepted theories. Therefore, the test of your theory is whether it predicts any previously undetected phenomena. The hypothesized negatively and positively charged energy particles would satisfy this criterion, but these particles have not been observed in any of the many experiments in which charged particle detectors have been placed in magnetic fields. Because alternative explanations are possible, attraction of the graphite rod toward your finger is not conclusive evidence for the existence of charged energy particles.

In conclusion, your theory reformulates but not supplants existing concepts.

Sincerely yours,



Albert Bridgewater  
Staff Assistant  
Physics Section



NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
LYNDON B. JOHNSON SPACE CENTER  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77058

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: TN7

March 13, 1974

J. W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, TX 77055

Dear Mr. Newman:

Thank you for your manuscript, "Magnetic and Electrical Fields..." I have found it stimulating. Unfortunately most of it is beyond my field of expertise and thus I am unable to evaluate your contribution.

Thus I have taken the liberty of sending the manuscript to a colleague - Prof. G. W. Pearce of the University of Toronto. He is a geophysist and specialist in magnetics.

Yours truly,

  
Richard J. Williams

TN7:RJWilliams:ceh:3/13/74

*No comment ever received  
from Prof. Pearce*





NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION  
LYNDON B. JOHNSON SPACE CENTER  
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77058

REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: AC

APR 17 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055

Dear Mr. Newman:

In reply to your letter of April 8, 1974, I have discussed your paper with Dr. Brett. It is my understanding that he is forwarding a reply to you recommending that you contact the Journal of Geophysical Research. I believe that since this Center really does not have the specialists in those fields that would be appropriate to evaluate your hypothesis, it would be better to forward your paper to them for possible review and publication.

Sincerely,

George W. S. Abbey  
Technical Assistant to the Director

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH  
SPACE PHYSICS

PUBLISHED BY THE AMERICAN GEOPHYSICAL UNION

GEORGE C. REID, EDITOR  
AERONOMY LABORATORY

NATIONAL OCEANIC & ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION  
BOULDER, COLORADO 80302  
TELEPHONE: 303 - 494-4737

May 23, 1974

Mr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055


Dear Mr. Newman,

Thank you for your letter of 15 May enclosing a copy of your manuscript. Unfortunately the topic of your paper lies well outside the range covered by the Space Physics section of the Journal of Geophysical Research. We are restricted essentially to papers dealing with experimental and theoretical studies of the physics and chemistry of the upper atmosphere and near space, and we cannot handle papers on such basic subjects as the connection between gravity and magnetic fields.

Subject matter aside, however, I think you will have great difficulty in having your paper published in any scientific journal in its present form. There is a generally accepted format for scientific papers, and unfortunate as it may be one has to speak the scientists' language to communicate effectively with them. It may be helpful to consult a few current scientific journals, and to see whether you can re-cast your presentation to conform generally with their normal style. This will at least ensure that your paper is given serious consideration, and is not rejected out of hand with a polite form letter.

With best wishes.

Sincerely,



George C. Reid

Enclosure

University of Nevada  
902-784-6984

Editor-in-Chief  
S.A. GOUDSMIT

The Physical Review

Editors  
S. PASTERNAK  
P.D. ADAMS  
H.H. BARSCHALL  
C.L. SNEAD JR.

Physical Review Letters

Editors  
S.A. GOUDSMIT  
GEORGE L. TRIGG  
Publication Manager  
M.J. FLEMING

# THE PHYSICAL REVIEW AND PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

(PUBLISHED FOR THE AMERICAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY)

BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY, UPTON, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK 11973

Telephone (516) 345-2540


24 April 1974

Dr. Joe W. Newman  
1541 Bracher Drive  
Houston, Texas 77055

Dear Dr. Newman,

We regret to inform you that your manuscript "Magnetic and Electrical Fields, not just the Word Gravity, are Key Factors in Controlling the Movement of the Earth, Moon, Planets, Sun, Stars and Galaxies throughout the Universe" is not considered suitable for publication in The Physical Review. We are therefore returning it herewith.

Yours sincerely,



C. Lewis Snead, Jr.  
Editor

bs  
Enc.

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550



OFFICE OF THE  
DIRECTOR

January 24, 1975

Mr. Joseph Westley Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

We have received your letter of December 30, 1974 which you sent to President Ford regarding unlimited sources of energy from magnetic and electric fields of power source. In reviewing the correspondence, we see that you had forwarded a similar letter to President Nixon on March 29, 1974 and that letter was referred to the National Science Foundation for response by Dr. Todd and Dr. Bridgewater.

We appreciate your sincerity but you must recognize that the answers which we supplied six months ago still represent the viewpoint of the National Science Foundation.

During May and June of 1974, Dr. Bridgewater tried at great length to provide what I consider to be a fair examination of your hypothesis and has suggested publication of your theories and experimental results in reputable journals in order to resolve any differences in viewpoint. Dr. Bridgewater made other suggestions to you which I am sure you have as a result of the previous correspondence.

Certainly creative and innovative thinking is always encouraged. I would suggest that you take the advice in the impartial manner that it is provided and concentrate upon publication of your theories, development of the experimental data, and detailed discussions to resolve divergent viewpoints.

We strongly support the free and open exchange of scientific information. If your document has the necessary scientific foundation, I am reasonably confident that publication would not be a major obstacle.

Sincerely yours,

H. Guyford Stever  
Director

January 27, 1975

1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618  
Phone: 205-344-9767

Mr. H. Guyford Stever, Director  
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION  
Washington, D. C. 20550

Dear Mr. Stever:

Reference your letter of January 24, 1975. It was not my intention that the National Science Foundation receive my letter, documents and correspondence forwarded to President Ford.

Your response is exactly what I would have expected, had I sent it to your department.

Dr. Bridgewater's correspondence was polite, but not exacting scientific argument. And contrary to what you and Dr. Bridgewater suggest, publication in a reputable journal is a major problem. This is even admitted by Mr. Reid of the Journal of Geophysical Research in his letter of May 23, 1974, of which you have a copy.

The scientific community is now and has always been made up majorly of individuals who have memorized the works of great men before them and therefore, claim to be true scientists. Their claim is ridiculous and their close-mindedness is despicable.

I do not make this comment based off my experiences with the so called scientific community. History is full of the disheartening experiences of great men who were ridiculed by the scientific community of their time and even their colleagues. Robert Goddard is an example. The Germans were amazed at the American Government's lack of interest in Goddard's work. He died in 1945. It was not until 1960 that the U.S. acknowledged his contribution with an award of one million dollars to his estate for the use of his patents. That was a great help after he was dead!

The so called scientific community of the U.S. is now quick to say, "look what we have done, sent men to the moon." Only men of vision like Goddard and Von Braun have caused men to be sent to the moon.

I have tried diligently and politely to get exacting scientific argument on what I have written. I have not received it. If I am wrong, it should be a simple matter for the National Science Foundation, with all its knowledgeable scientists, to prove me wrong. Not to be able to do so shows the lack of ability to creatively think!

Mr. Stever  
Page two  
January 27, 1975


What I am saying is simple. There is no such thing as a third entity called Gravity. There is only the observance of Electrical and Magnetic Fields of Force. I challenge anyone to quote factual experiments to prove me wrong.

If there is no one there with the power of reasoning to prove me wrong, that in itself is reason for your department to send it to someone who can; or that your department request one of the reputable journals to publish my article for the purpose of having it reputed.

The scientific community is like a boxer or athlete who claims to be the greatest, but will not compete.

I have gotten no warm and satisfying feeling from writing this letter. I ask that you be honest with yourself for a moment. What would you have written, if you were me and had tried as I have tried, to get someone to debate with you to the finish?

Regrettably,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Joseph Westley Newman". The signature is fluid and extends across the width of the page.

Joseph Westley Newman

JWN/en

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20550

APR 8 1975


Mr. Joseph Westley Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

We have enjoyed corresponding with you during these past few months but our advice is still the same. The proper place for your ideas to be exposed and to obtain reactions from your colleagues is for you to publish them in a reputable periodical. If your ideas are as sound as you profess, these periodicals would welcome your document, and publication would stimulate discussion throughout the scientific community.

I feel that this is the most effective step for you to take and recommend that your energies be focused in that direction.

Sincerely yours,



William H. Wetmore

Director

Office of Public

Technology Projects

Research Applications

MORAGNE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT CO.

6230 Evergreen Suite "E"

Houston, Texas 77036

713-772-4668

August 7, 1975

Mr. Joseph Westley Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Joe:


In reading your report, drawings and calculations, it is my opinion your work on Magnetic and Electrical Fields of Force shows scientific promise. It requires someone with inexhaustible creative ability in the science of physics and astronomy to make the discovery and be able to express as you have. But, you have opened an area in Astrophysics which may revolutionize the magnetic energy problem which is now the most paramount problem in future energy and space travel. This, we will explore further after you set up your research program.

I do believe with proper research funds (and do not start off under-financed), the results would not only be a great financial boom to your financiers, but would lead to developments that will be practical and beneficial to all mankind and develop a new step in science.

As a matter of fact, I, and other scientists in the scientific community in the aerospace science group here in Houston, will be more than willing to collaborate and assist you in this very important endeavor with particular emphasis on this unlimited source of energy.

Please let me know if I can be of any service to you.

Sincerely yours,



E. L. Moragne, Ph.D.

ELM:gs

*Dr. Moragne holds patent on electro-magnetic process making first Atomic bomb possible. He is listed in American Men + Women of Science, 12<sup>th</sup> Edition, page 4374.*



JOHN C. STENNIS, MISS., CHAIRMAN

STUART CRYMINGTON, MD.  
HENRY M. JACKSON, WASH.  
HOWARD W. CANYON, NEV.  
THOMAS J. MCINTYRE, N.H.  
HARRY F. BYRD, JR., VA.  
SAM BRINN, GA.  
JOHN G. CULVER, IOWA  
GARY HART, COLO.  
PATRICK J. LEAHY, VT.

STROM THURMOND, S.C.  
JOHN TOWER, TEX.  
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.  
WILLIAM L. SCOTT, VA.  
ROBERT TAFT, JR., OHIO  
DEWEY F. BARTLETT, OKLA.

T. EDWARD BRASWELL, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

August 23, 1976

Honorable Robert C. Seamans, Jr., Administrator  
Energy Research and Development Administration  
20 Massachusetts Avenue  
Washington, D.C. 20545

Dear Doctor Seamans:

I am enclosing herewith some correspondence and newspaper clippings relating to a development in the energy field by Mr. Joseph W. Newman, 1521 Deerwood Drive, East, Mobile, Alabama 36618.

It appears from the file that several experts feel that his report, drawings and calculations have considerable scientific promise, and I am writing this to recommend very strongly that he be considered for an energy research grant by ERDA.

I realize that you will need the specifics of his proposal in order to give the matter proper consideration. I am sure you can obtain these by contacting Mr. Newman at the address given above.

Your early attention to this will be appreciated.

Sincerely,

JOHN C. STENNIS  
United States Senator

JCS:klb

Enclosures: a/s



UNITED STATES  
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

SEP 7 1976

Honorable John C. Stennis  
United States Senate

Dear Senator Stennis:

Dr. Seamans has asked me to reply to your letter of August 23, 1976, concerning Mr. Joseph W. Newman.

We have had correspondence with Mr. Newman dating back to 1969, and we have suggested that he publish his work in a reputable technical journal. In the correspondence forwarded with your letter, Mr. Smith of NASA makes the same recommendation, affirming our belief that such publication is the appropriate next step for Mr. Newman.

I wish that we could be more helpful in this matter.

Sincerely,

James S. Kane, Director  
Division of Physical Research



JOHN C. STENNIS, MISS., CHAIRMAN

STUART SYMINGTON, MO.  
HENRY M. JACKSON, WASH.  
HOWARD W. CANNON, NEV.  
THOMAS J. MCINTYRE, N.H.  
HARRY F. BYRD, JR., VA.  
SAM NUNN, GA.  
JOHN C. CULVER, IOWA  
GARY HART, COLO.  
PATRICK J. LEAHY, VT.

STROM THURMOND, S.C.  
JOHN TOWER, TEX.  
BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ.  
WILLIAM L. SCOTT, VA.  
ROBERT TAFT, JR., OHIO  
DEWEY F. BARTLETT, OKLA.

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

T. EDWARD BRASWELL, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR

October 28, 1976

Mr. Joseph W. Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618


Dear Joseph:

I have your letter of October 23rd and have read it with a great deal of interest. I shall certainly give the points you raise my attention.

In the meantime, I urge you to persist in this matter. I again express my regrets that the contacts I made on your behalf were not more productive.

With warm personal regards, I am

Your friend,



JOHN C. STENNIS  
United States Senator

JCS:cls

OLIN E. TEAGUE, TEX., CHAIRMAN

KEN HECHLER, W. VA.  
THOMAS N. DOWNING, VA.  
DON FUQUA, FLA.  
JAMES W. SYMINGTON, MO.  
WALTER FLOWERS, ALA.  
ROBERT A. ROE, N.J.  
MIKE MC CORMACK, WASH.  
GEORGE E. BROWN, JR., CALIF.  
DALE MILFORD, TEX.  
RAY THORNTON, ARK.  
JAMES H. SCHEUER, N.Y.  
RICHARD L. OTTINGER, N.Y.  
HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIF.  
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.  
TOM HARKIN, IOWA  
JIM LLOYD, CALIF.  
JEROME A. AMBRO, N.Y.  
CHRISTOPHER J. DODD, CONN.  
MICHAEL T. BLOUIN, IOWA  
TIM L. HALL, ILL.  
ROBERT KRUEGER, TEX.  
MARILYN LLOYD, TENN.  
JAMES J. BLANCHARD, MICH.  
TIMOTHY E. WIRTH, COLO.

CHARLES A. MOSHER, OHIO  
ALPHONZO BELL, CALIF.  
JOHN JARMAN, OKLA.  
JOHN W. WYDLER, N.Y.  
LARRY WINN, JR., KANS.  
LOUIS FREY, JR., FLA.  
BARRY M. GOLDWATER, JR., CALIF.  
MARVIN L. ESCH, MICH.  
JOHN B. CONLAN, ARIZ.  
GARY A. MYERS, PA.  
DAVID F. EMERY, MAINE  
LARRY PRESSLER, S. DAK.

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY  
U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES  
SUITE 2321 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515

JOHN L. SWIGERT, JR.  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

HAROLD A. GOULD  
PHILIP B. YEAGER  
FRANK R. HAMMILL, JR.  
JAMES E. WILSON  
J. THOMAS RATCHFORD  
JOHN D. HOLMFELD  
RALPH N. READ  
ROBERT C. KETCHAM  
ROBERT B. DILLAWAY  
REGINA A. DAVIS

MINORITY COUNSEL:  
MICHAEL A. SUPERATA

July 22, 1976

Mr. Joe Newman  
1521 Derwood Drive E  
Mobile, Ala. 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

In a recent telephone conversation, Capt. Ronald Evans informed me that you have, in work, a new energy concept that might be useful in this Country's present problem, and wished to know a forum where this concept might be introduced.


The Department of Commerce has just such a forum for new inventors, the Office of Energy Related Inventions.

It is my recommendation that you describe your invention in a letter to:

Mr. George P. Lewett  
Chief, Office of Energy Related Inventions  
National Bureau of Standards  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
Washington, D.C. 20234

This office will assist you in evaluating your ideas.

Sincerely,

  
JOHN L. SWIGERT, Jr.  
Executive Director

December 20, 1975

1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618  
Phone: 205 - 344-9767

Mr. George P. Lewett  
Chief, Office of Energy-Related Inventions  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington, D. C. 20234

Dear Mr. Lewett:

Today I received your letter of December 16, 1975.

While your comments are disappointing, they are not surprising to me at all. They conform exactly with the attitude of the correspondence that I have previously received from the National Science Foundation, Atomic Energy Commission, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, as well as others.

Truth is of great importance to me as a man and a scientist. The results of my scientific efforts for the last 10 years are as follows:

1. Reputable Scientific Journals only print the hypothesis of elite scientifically recognized physicists and etc.
2. Of all the correspondence I have received from your department, as well as those scientific departments listed above, none have the ability to give exacting scientific argument against the scientific findings I have put forth in my document.
3. How can this be? The scientific statements made in my document are made in a most definite scientific manner, there is no scientific rhetoric or ambiguous statements and, therefore, should be easy to disprove if they are wrong.
4. On the other hand, reputable scientific individuals I have spent time with recognize that I may have a most unusual scientific ability.

This is evident by the letter from Dr. Edward Leverne Moragne, of which you have a copy.

It is also evident by the comments made by Mr. David J. Eden, (Director of Department of Commerce), to my patent attorney firm, (Schuyler, Birch, Swindler, McKie and Beckett), after meeting and talking with me in Washington, D. C. and then reading my scientific document.

Mr. Lewett  
Page two  
December 20, 1975

It is also evident by the comments made by Robert W. Gundlach, Top research scientist with Xerox Corporation, with whom I spent the night in his home and discussed my scientific efforts. Quote: "He had no doubt that the mathematical evidence that I had put forth concerning the tilts and orbits of the planets was correct. That he very often used the mathematics of probability in his line of research and that the mathematical evidence I had put forth fit those laws exactly." Unquote:

Conclusion: There is certainly something gravely wrong with the present scientific communication system for me to have encountered these results.

I was told that your department was set up to alleviate this type of inefficiency in the gaining of scientific knowledge.


Quote from letter of Mr. Atwell, (Deputy Chief of Operations, Office of Congressional Affairs), dated September 9, 1975: "The Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 assigns responsibility for evaluating and funding all promising energy-related inventions (particular those submitted by individual inventors and small companies) to the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) for analysis and possible grants." Unquote:

On studying the results of my ten years of scientific efforts, is it not wiser for your department to use its influence and request a reputable scientific journal or journals to print my scientific findings for the purpose of having them proven or disproven and then have those results forwarded back to your office, whereby, a sound scientific conclusion could then be drawn?

I am certain my scientific findings will contribute to the well being of all people on earth and will enable mankind to efficiently advance to other solar systems.

I await your earliest reply.

Sincerely,



Joseph Westley Newman

JWN/en



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**National Bureau of Standards**  
Washington, D.C. 20234

January 28, 1976

Mr. Joseph W. Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

We wish to acknowledge your letter of December 20, 1975, requesting this office to submit your scientific findings to a reputable scientific journal for publication.

It is unfortunate that you have had difficulty in getting your material published in a scientific journal. However, this office cannot comply with your request to use its influence to get your ideas published. We are not in a position to endorse or repute your findings, since, as stated in our previous letter, it is not our function to make judgments about new theoretical propositions which challenge accepted theory.

Since you have had considerable dialogue about your findings with the several scientists you mention in your letters, perhaps they could assist you in this matter.

Sincerely,

George P. Lewett  
Chief, Office of Energy-Related Inventions

# RICE UNIVERSITY

HOUSTON, TEXAS

77001

DEPARTMENT OF SPACE PHYSICS  
AND ASTRONOMY

September 7, 1976

Mr. Joseph W. Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

I have looked over your latest manuscript on the relationship of magnetism to various physical features in the universe. As with your previous work, I found it imaginative and filled with original ideas. The work shows you have both a strong interest in physics and a definite native ability. However, I am afraid that I cannot encourage you with regard to the possible publication of your work in any standard scientific journal. Let me illustrate with one specific example.

On page 14 you mention an experiment with a pendulum and your ninety pound magnet. Using standard electromagnetic theory (Maxwell's equations), I believe the change in period for a swinging pendulum can be calculated for the cases where the magnet is brought near the pendulum. The presently accepted theory would predict that a decrease in the pendulum period will occur only when the pendulum material is a conducting material. That is, the magnet would have no effect if the pendulum bob were composed of a nonconducting material such as glass or teflon. If your results are different from this, Maxwell's theory would be upset, and you would have a firm building block to advance your theory. However, you simply state your results with no data and, therefore, no chance for anyone to check your result against standard electromagnetic theory. It is for reasons such as this that your manuscript would, in my opinion, not be understood by the audience you are trying to address.

To write up your pendulum experiment properly for



2-Mr. Joseph Newman

9/7/76

scientific journal publication would require an understanding of Maxwell's equations in vector form. If you can show, while speaking the language of the audience with whom you are trying to communicate, that Maxwell's equations are invalid, you would have a chance to push forward your new theory. However, I do not believe you will have an opportunity to do so unless you can communicate with the physicists and astronomers of the world in their own language.

Once again, I would urge that you consider obtaining a formal education in physics so that you can present your results in accepted mathematical form and scientific notation. The rules of the game are fair, and they are clearly defined. If you want to play, you must follow the rules. Alternately, your work has no doubt been of great personal satisfaction, and you might consider being satisfied with that and give up the idea of communicating with physicists (who will insist you speak their language).

Should you decide to obtain a formal education in physics, please do not hesitate to contact me regarding advice on this move.

Good luck in your endeavors.

Yours truly,



A. J. Dessler



Department of Energy  
Washington, D.C. 20585

Mr. Joseph Westley Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Mr. Newman:

Your letter to President Carter was referred to the Department of Energy for reply.

There are two issues which you have presented in the letter. The first is that you would like someone to debate, agree or disagree with your paper setting forth your ideas, hypotheses and conclusions about electromagnetic energy, and second you would like to receive a Federal grant with which to continue work on your ideas.

I will reply first on the matter of grants. As you might imagine, the procedure for getting Federal funds is closely prescribed. I am enclosing the "Guidelines for the Submission of Unsolicited Proposals," which tells you how to apply. Essentially you have to tell what you want to do and how you plan to do it. The Department's program managers must see clearly that supporting your project plan would support their mission and plan. Consequently, you will not be able to ask for support on something which you can't reveal.

I have read the document that you sent and I will be happy to comment on a few examples that come early in your paper to point out other ways to look at them.


It does not follow, without question, that the man holding up the 400 pound weight proved that the work equals force times distance moved - formula is invalid. One could say that it was inappropriate to use the formula for more than a first order description. All such laws are used with much qualification and reservation.

In your case of radiation appearing with the burning of the log... thereby proving disappearance of gravity...another way to look at that case is -- the "aggregate" material is gone and so is the "aggregate" gravitational effect...there is nothing to suggest that the mass-energy residues are not affected by gravity. It is crucial to look at cases such as the above because they are premises for your conclusions.

...Could a Faraday experiment be carried out under conditions of weightlessness? (p. 9) ...Your connection between "weight" and "electromagnetism" is couched in a statement which ignores the "mass" deposited...

Certainly a few comments cannot cover the very extensive work that you have done. I do hope that they raise classes of questions which you may consider.

Sincerely,



James W. Mayo  
Scientific Advisor  
Office of the Assistant Secretary  
for Energy Technology

Enclosure

August 27, 1979


Mr. Joseph Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Joe,

I am getting almost as frustrated as you. I have not been able to arrange any sort of demonstration meeting with people that would know what you are talking about. Some of them are still on vacation; however, with others the problem is more serious. Most of them are not interested. They say that Maxwell's equations and theories are the best understood of all the laws of physics and that any attempt to show that they are wrong or that he arrived at the wrong conclusions is useless.

Sorry to be the bearer of such bad news but that's the way it stands now. I'll keep trying to set up a demonstration and also try to get a competent review of your document but right now the prospects are not too encouraging. I'll keep in touch with Lynn Pitchford and keep him informed. In the meantime keep up the experiments and try to write some of them up very simply so that they can be published in a technical journal.

Good luck,



Robert E. Smith  
125 Westbury Drive, SE  
Huntsville, Alabama 35802



## STATE OF ALABAMA

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE  
MONTGOMERY 36130

FOB JAMES  
GOVERNOR

March 12, 1979

Mr. Joe Newman  
1521 Deerwood Drive, East  
Mobile, Alabama 36618

Dear Joe:

I appreciate your letter of February 4, and allow me this opportunity to express my gratitude for your support during the campaign. I will do my best to do a job that will make you proud. Have been tied down about 16 hours a day since taking office but hope things will ease up a little next week and give me time to act on your letter.


I remember very well our conversation and experiences regarding the scientific community and will act accordingly. Joe, if energy could be released from electromagnetic fields of force, it would be a godsend to this country.

Hope to see you soon.

Sincerely,

FJ:ew

# Who's Who in Technology Today



**PHYSICS AND RELATED TECHNOLOGIES**

---

**Nelson, Donald F.**

Member of Technical Staff

Presently associated with: Bell Telephone Laboratories, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, NJ. 07974. Started in 1968 and is now serving in above capacity. Received the Doctorate Degree (Technical) in 1958 from the University of Michigan. Accumulated 21 years of professional experience. Holds 6 U.S. Patents. Author of 1 technical book and 80 articles. Also active in the field of Optics. Principal expertise involves:  
*Crystal Physics, Lasers, Ultrasonics*

**Nesterczuk, George**

Consulting Scientist

Presently associated with: EG & G/WASCI, 6801 Kenilworth Avenue, Riverdale, MD. 20840. Started in 1977 and is now serving in above capacity. Received a Masters Degree (Technical) in 1971 from the University of Maryland. Accumulated 8 years of professional, and 6 years of managerial experience. Author of 20 technical articles. Served as Corporate Director and Officer. Technical involvement includes experience in Oceanography. Principal expertise involves:  
*Remote Sensing and Satellite Systems*

**Newell, Philip B.**

Senior Engineering Specialist

Presently associated with: GTE Sylvania, 100 Endicott Street, Danvers, MA. 01923. Started in 1976 and is now serving in above capacity. Received the Doctorate Degree (Technical) in 1969 from Boston University. Accumulated 19 years of professional, and 5 years of managerial experience. Holds 1 U.S. Patent. Author of 6 technical articles. Also active in the field of Optics. Principal expertise involves:  
*Applications and Design Xe Flashlamps*

**Newman, Joseph W.**

Scientist; Inventor

Self-Employed as Scientist; Inventor since 1961. Accumulated 18 years of professional experience. Holds 7 U.S. Patents, and has experience in the evaluation of Patent Office actions. Author of 4

*Archivist E*

technical articles. Also active in the field of Advanced Technology. Principal expertise involves:  
*Unified Theory of All Physical Phenomena*

**Nisenoff, Martin**

Research Physicist

Presently associated with: Naval Research Laboratory, 4555 Overlook Road South West, Washington, DC. 20375. Started in 1972 and is now serving in above capacity. Received the Doctorate Degree (Technical) in 1960 from Purdue University. Accumulated 19 years of professional, and 8 years of managerial experience. Has acted as a consultant relating to Patent disclosures. Author of 50 technical articles. Technical involvement includes experience in Electronics. Principal expertise involves:  
*Superconductive Electronics*

**Nygaard, Kaare J.**

Professor

Presently associated with: University of Missouri at Rolla, Rolla, MO. 65401. Started in 1968 and is now serving in above capacity. Received the Doctorate Degree (Technical) in 1964 from the Norwegian Institute of Technology (Norway). Accumulated 15 years of professional, and 8 years of managerial experience. Author of 99 technical articles. Technical involvement includes experience in Electronics. Principal expertise involves:  
*Ionization Laser Kinetics Education*

**NG, Yee S.**

Research Assistant

Presently associated with: Pennsylvania State University, Physics Department, 104 Davey Laboratory, University Park, PA. 16802. Started in 1976 and is now serving in above capacity. Received a Masters Degree (Technical) in 1977 from Pennsylvania State University. Accumulated 5 years of professional experience. Author of 7 technical articles. Also active in the field of Metallurgy. Principal expertise involves:  
*Atom-Probe FIM, Surface Segregation*


September 17, 1984

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

I would like to present a few observations and comments regarding Joe Newman's theory of operation of his motor. His theory is a unified field theory based upon Einstein's equivalence of matter and energy. According to Einstein's famous equation,  $E=mc^2$ , every conversion of energy involves a corresponding mass loss. (E in this equation represents total energy of a system, kinetic and potential, and m is the system mass.) Thus, for example, when two atoms combine and give off light, the atoms weigh less after combining than before, and the difference is accounted for in the light energy. Newman's interpretation of these notions states that all matter and energy consists of elementary spinning particles. This reduces Einsteins relation to a process of counting elementary particles. In the above example both the atoms and the light consist of the elementary "gyroscopic" particles. Some particles are released in the form of light when the atoms combine. According to Einstein's theory, and Newman's interpretation, energy generation in the Newman motor must necessarily involve a conversion of matter to energy. This statement is equally true for any system which generates energy. This statement is also perfectly consistent with the first law of thermodynamics, and does not violate the second law.

The nature of the spin of the gyroscopic particles in Newman's theory provides a qualitative understanding of electromagnetic phenomena. In fact, Newman conceived the theory originally to explain electromagnetic effects. Thus, electric and magnetic fields, including static fields, consist of gyroscopic particles moving at the speed of light. Creation of a field requires a corresponding mass loss, or loss of gyroscopic particles, from a system. Newman's motor concept provides a means for trapping gyroscopic particles in a long coil of wire, which catalyzes the release of particles from the wire in the form of very large magnetic fields. It must be considered significant that Newman conceived of the theory of operation of his motor before he constructed the first working prototype. It may be expected that the motor operation can be explained in terms of the mathematical theories of electromagnetism and relativity, however we cannot expect or require Mr. Newman to perform such a feat.

09/18/84

*Lana D. Peters*  
  
 LANA D. PETERS  
 NOTARY PUBLIC - MINNESOTA  
 DANIELA COUNTY  
 My Commission Expires Mar. 19, 1988

*Roger Hastings*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Dr. Roger Hastings, Ph.D.



# ARNOLD R. SMYTHE, JR.

CONSULTING STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS, INC.  
234 GLENDALE DRIVE - METAIRIE, LOUISIANA 70001 - (504) 831-7504

October 19, 1984

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

Mr. Joseph Newman, of Lucedale, Mississippi, is attempting to achieve issuance of patents on an energy producing device developed by him, and on the underlying scientific principles discovered by him.

The comments offered here are in response to the "Report of the Special Master", Civil Action 83-0001, dated September 28, 1984.

The statements of the Special Master indicate that he believes there is adequate evidence of the validity of Mr. Newman's device and that it performs as Mr. Newman claims. The Special Master, however, rejects Mr. Newman's explanation of the unique physical phenomena which cause the device to function. I consider this apparent rejection to be contradictory and intellectually inconsistent. Please note that Mr. Newman divulged his theories of conversion of mass into energy prior to the construction of his first prototype device. The successful operation of this first prototype confirmed the rightness of his theories.

In my opinion, the correct application of the Scientific Method must conclude that there is adequate evidence to allow the issuance of a Pioneering Patent to Mr. Newman. My opinion is based on my observations of the prototype device and the underlying theory as propounded by Mr. Newman. These observations were made during two presentations by Mr. Newman before groups of engineers, and during two private all-day sessions with Mr. Newman at Lucedale.



Arnold R. Smythe, Jr.

Consulting Structural  
Engineer

STATE OF LOUISIANA  
PARISH OF JEFFERSON

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS 20th DAY OF OCTOBER, 1984.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES  
AT DEATH

230  
THE ENERGY MACHINE OF JOSEPH NEWMAN



NOTARY PUBLIC

Evan R. Soule, Jr.  
1135 Jackson Avenue, Apt. 305  
New Orleans, Louisiana 70130  
(504) 524-3063, 866-7656

STATE OF LOUISIANA  
PARISH OF ORLEANS

AFFIDAVIT

RE: Report of the Special Master dated September 28, 1984 for Civil Action 83-0001

The following two statements from the Report of the Special Master totally contradict one another:

from Point #9: "Evidence..is overwhelming that Newman has built and tested a prototype of his invention in which the output energy exceeds the external input energy."


from Point #10: "There is no evidence corroborating Newman's scientific theory..."

As a scientist familiar with Joseph Newman's work, I must ask this question:


"Who is better qualified to determine the rightness of his scientific theory than the inventor of the energy machine for which the evidence is overwhelming (in the words of the Special Master) that the machine works?"

It is also important to note that Joseph Newman built this workable prototype based upon and several years after he submitted to the Patent Office his scientific theory which made it possible for him to know how to build such an invention in the first place.

The energy machine prototype is overwhelming evidence that totally corroborates Joseph Newman's scientific theory.

  
Evan R. Soule, Jr.  
Consulting physicist

SWORN to and SUBSCRIBED before me this 19  
day of OCTOBER 1984  
New Orleans, Louisiana

  
EDWARD J. NORTON, JR.  
Notary Public  
EDWARD J. NORTON, JR.  
NOTARY PUBLIC, PARISH OF ORLEANS, STATE OF LA.  
(MY COMMISSION IS ISSUED FOR LIFE)

JOHN P. GILLIS, D.D.S.  
5037 Veterans Memorial Blvd.  
Dental-Medical Plaza  
Metairie, Louisiana 70003  
October 21, 1984

STATE OF LOUISIANA

JEFFERSON PARISH

AFFIDAVIT


Ref: Report of the Special Master dated September 28, 1984  
for Civil Action 83-0001.

With all due respect for the conclusions of the report by the Special Master, it is quite clear that there is a contradiction in the implied argument that Newman's prototype is not corroboration of his scientific theory.

As is well known, in this area of research, the existence of subatomic phenomena such as Newman's hypothesized gyroscopic particles is theoretical and not directly observable. The standard corroborative mechanism for research in this domain involves predicting energy transformations that can be observed as a result of manipulation of the hypothesized subatomic phenomena.

Newman has clearly met these criteria for corroboration of his scientific theory. Newman hypothesized the existence of gyroscopic subatomic phenomena initially, and then followed the standard corroborative procedure by predicting energy transformations that could be observed experimentally. Newman then designed and built an experimental device, the prototype of his invention, which enabled him to observe the predicted energy transformations of the gyroscopic phenomena described in his scientific theory.

The Special Master explicitly states that Newman's invention functions as claimed and can be duplicated by others. However, the Master concludes that this device is in no way supportive evidence for corroboration of Newman's scientific theory of subatomic gyroscopic phenomena. This conclusion is clearly in contradiction with standard scientific procedure. It appears that the obvious has been overlooked, which is, that without Newman's initial scientific theory the probability of his building a workable prototype of this nature is infinitesimally small.

  
John P. Gillis D.D.S.

Sworn to and subscribed before me  
this 22 day of October, 1984

  
JUNE N. WELLS

Notary Public

My commission is for life

J. Richard Trinko, Jr.

Consulting Engineer

STATE OF LOUISIANA

ORLEANS PARISH

AFFIDAVIT

RE: Report of the Special Master dated September 28, 1984 for Civil Action

83-0001

It is my understanding that Mr. Joseph Newman is being denied a patent on the concept of his invention for the following reason:

"There is no evidence corroborating Newman's scientific theory involving gyroscopic type energy particles to explain how mass to energy conversion is accomplished by his system; claims which specifically recite that theory were properly rejected." RE: Item No. 11.

I would like to suggest to the Special Master that very few, if any, new inventions or scientific theories are perfected at the time of patent application. Perfection generally follows development of applications. Imperfections, if they exist in the Newman patent application, should not invalidate Mr. Newman's rightful claim to the basic concepts of his invention. Indeed, justice demands that he be granted a patent on the basic concepts because:

"Evidence before the Patent and Trademark Office and this Court is overwhelming that Newman has built and tested a prototype of his invention in which the energy output exceeds the external input energy; there is no contradictory factual evidence." RE: Item No. 9.

I submit that since the prototype demonstrably produces more energy output than energy input, he should be granted a patent on the concepts as claimed without regard to imperfections in theory, as postulated by the Special Master. To the extent that his original claims prove correct, he is entitled to patent protection. To the extent that future studies prove that they are false, neither he nor others will be penalized. Justice is best served, therefore, by granting him a patent on his original concepts as claimed. A gross and grievous injustice is assured by denying him patent protection on concepts which prove to be correct.

Sincerely,

*Joseph Richard Trinko, Jr. Ph.D.*

Joseph Richard Trinko, Jr., Ph.D.  
185 Walnut St., Unit 3  
New Orleans, LA 70118

Sworn to and subscribed before me this date.

*Marian H. Boudry - Oct 22, 1984*  
Notary Public


STATE OF LOUISIANA

ORLEANS PARISH

AFFIDAVIT


RE: Report of the Special Master dated September 28, 1984 for Civil Action 83-0001

Mr. Newman developed his scientific theory involving gyroscopic type energy particles some ten to fifteen years prior to his building of an apparatus. His discovery in theory, indeed, predicted that such an apparatus could be built. He then built the apparatus. Mr. William E. Schuyler Jr., Special Master, has stated that the evidence is overwhelming that Newman has built and tested a prototype of his invention in which the output energy exceeds the external input energy. In other words Mr. Schuyler states that Mr. Newman's apparatus corroborates his theory. But, then Mr. Schuyler goes on to state that there is no evidence corroborating Newman's theory. It is a total contradiction to say in one breath that the theory is overwhelmingly corroborated and in another that there is no evidence of corroboration. This implies to me that Mr. Schuyler has not mastered Newman's theory.



Eric J. Szuter  
Vice President/General Manager  
Haller's Air Conditioning Maintenance  
and Engineering Service

Sworn to and Subscribed before me  
this 22 day of October, 1984.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

STATE OF LOUISIANA

ORLEANS PARISH

AFFIDAVIT

RE: Report of the Special Master dated September 28, 1984  
for Civil Action 83-0001

For the past year I have spent many hours studying Mr. Newman's motor and studying his theory. I am firmly convinced that his theory is the more significant of the two inventions and that the motor was developed from the theory. Thus, if the motor is deemed to have value (Statements #8 and #9 of Special Master), the theory must therefore be of greater value than the motor.

I agree with Statement #9 that a skilled person could build a Newman motor from the description in the patent, however, I doubt that a normally skilled person could read Mr. Newman's theory once or twice and learn to build such a device. This may be possible for certain highly skilled persons, however, and thereupon lies the rationale for granting Mr. Newman's theory pioneering invention status. For without such protection, the theory of Mr. Newman's may never be available to the public for lack of protection for its disclosure. This theory, so contrary to the established laws of electromagnetics that I was taught during my undergraduate curricula in physics, will require deep and prolonged study by scientists who are willing to consider the possibilities of a completely new scientific paradigm. The process of forming a new scientific paradigm is fraught with problems as described in Thomas Kuhn's "The Structure of Scientific Revolutions" published by The University of Chicago Press in 1962. On page 151

Kuhn quotes Max Planck: "a new scientific truth does not triumph by convincing its opponents and making them see the light, but rather because its opponents eventually die, and a new generation grows up that is familiar with it."

A scientific theory is a simplified model of how the universe works. Models can be used to explain why certain known phenomena occur and to explain how to produce hitherto unknown phenomena. Mr. Newman's theory qualifies on both counts:

- 1) The theory explains why electric and magnetic fields are perpendicular to each other. Everybody knows this is so and uses this knowledge, but no one has ever produced a model to explain why up until now. Mr. Newman's theory of gyroscopic particles explains why. In essence these particles, spinning like miniature gyroscopes, produce perpendicular forces when deflected exactly as gyroscopes do. Mr. Newman has created a theory that predicts an underlying unity of spinning masses and electromagnetic fields.
- 2) The theory explains how to produce more energy from a device than is put into it (obviously a hitherto unknown phenomena). The prototype demonstrates this to be a fact on the basis of overwhelming evidence (Statement #9).

Isaac Newton's theory in the 17th Century made it possible to predict exactly the orbits of planetary bodies, but it wasn't until Halley's Comet arrived right on the date predicted that Newton's work was corroborated.

Albert Einstein's theory required an experiment be

performed during a total eclipse in order to corroborate it. This seemingly innocuous experiment confirmed a theory which has made nuclear power a reality in our time.

Joseph W. Newman's theory has enabled Mr. Newman, who understands it best at this time, to manufacture a machine that taps energy from the sub-atomic structure of matter in a controlled manner. This can be considered as nuclear power without the destructive side effects of bombs and radioactivity.

Statement #8 says "Operation of Plaintiff's System seems to clearly conflict with recognized scientific principles relating to thermodynamics and conservation of energy." In the presence of a conflict, a true scientist will either disregard the conflict as a spurious anomaly (as the Patent Office has done with Mr. Newman's theory for many years) or the scientist will produce a new theory which will explain all currently understood phenomena plus the anomalous phenomena. This is precisely what Mr. Newman's theory does. Mr. Newman's theory may lack the precision of exposition of an academically trained scientist, but what trained scientist would ever spend time investigating a process he had been taught was clearly impossible? Undoubtedly trained scientists will welcome the opportunity to add mathematic precision to Mr. Newman's theory and the decision to give them access to this material must include full protection under law for Mr. Newman's innovative concepts as embodied in his pioneering inventions.

*RJ Matherne*

ROBERT JOSEPH MATHERNE  
OCTOBER 21, 1984

10/22/84

*sworn to and subscribed  
before me this 22<sup>nd</sup>  
day of October 1984  
by J. T. [unclear]  
[unclear]*



## Chapter 21

# THE PROPER TEACHING SYSTEM

"Bacon in his instruction tells us that the scientific student ought not to be as the ant, who gathers merely, nor as the spider who spins from her bowels, but rather as the bee who both gathers and produces."

— Michael Faraday

**T**he facts of history clearly illustrate the injustice of the present teaching system. One has only to observe each separate aspect of science — physics, astronomy, chemistry, medicine, etc. — to see that the common denominator is the fact that, during their instant of time in history, those creative individuals who made the greatest positive contributions were generally persecuted or ridiculed by their colleagues. Many such contributors were never recognized during their lifetimes for their achievements. As a matter of fact, such recognition (when given at all) was generally not forthcoming until a century or more after their deaths.

QUESTION: Why should the greatest contributors in history be so treated?

With small children, one can observe that they are excited, stimulated and mystified by observing and experiencing new information. The child does not become angry or intimidated by new information or discovery.

The history of science clearly demonstrates that the so-called "conventional scientists" of a given age were intimidated, angered, or even felt threatened by new and beneficial scientific contributions from contemporary creative individuals.

Over the years, I have personally seen and experienced this same response from many conventionally-educated and scientifically trained individuals concerning my work. I have often stated that the problem I was having with these individuals was a "psychological problem" and not a "true scientific problem"!

Because I am a human being, I have at times become angry with such individuals when I observed that they did not wish to think, question, or search for "Absolute Truth." Since I am a fair person, however, I can honestly state to myself, "I know their negative actions are not their fault." Such individuals are only acting as the present teaching system has taught them to respond since they entered the first grade.

Therefore, I conclude that these individuals have been "unjustly influenced" by an incorrect teaching system that has existed for centuries.

In clinical psychiatry, such a negative response would be called "The Denial Syndrome." This means that, if a person mentally feels threatened by information presented to her/him, then that individual may respond by denying the information.

EXAMINE THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

From adolescence to maturity, an individual is instructed to memorize, mimic, and not question or challenge what is taught. Then the individual is informed that some of what he/she has learned is wrong and must now question it. Because that individual has been "unjustly taught," one can easily understand why that individual would feel threatened by such an approach and would psychologically respond by "denying" what is proposed. *How sad is this fact for humanity.*

At four years of age, such an individual would not have responded so negatively and thus denied herself/himself the excitement of understanding new discovery which stimulates and invigorates the questioning mind.

The following fact should cause the reader to think: One can acquire numerous Ph.D.'s during one's lifetime, but in so doing one has only learned to mimic various "crafts." *One is only a scientist when one questions and challenges what is being taught.* A four-year-old human being has scientific instincts, but ironically most Ph.D. individuals who have been "unjustly influenced" by the present teaching system do not. *A scientist is simply an individual who seeks "Absolute Truth" above all else!*

The following is a very important QUESTION:

How is it possible for me, living in the backwoods of Mississippi with limited money and limited conventional training/education, to have achieved the innovation of a totally new energy source, plus much more?

Also, consider the fact that the conventionally-taught, scientific community has had over 30 years, thousands of conventionally-trained "scientists," and billions of dollars to create a more efficient means of mechanically converting mass into energy in accordance with Einstein's Equation of  $E = MC^2$  (rather than the inefficient conventional, nuclear-reactor, mechanical method which was initially prompted by an accidental discovery of the fission of heavy nuclei by two chemists in Germany — Otto Hahn and Lise Meitner).

Despite the above facts, such conventionally-trained scientists have failed to achieve any practical or significant improvement in energy conversion and production efficiency. In lieu of such failure, why have I succeeded?

ANSWER: The conventionally-trained scientists have been

“unjustly taught” by the conventional teaching system that they did not have to **mechanically** understand Gravity, Magnetism, Electricity, Inertia, Planetary Motion, Light, Heat, etc., and that it was sufficient to simply provide mathematical formulae for such effects.

When I read such teachings, I was totally shocked! My natural questioning instincts of “How, Why, and What” told me that it was not only necessary but *imperative* to understand the mechanical essence of these effects.

This simple distinction in mental attitude between the conventionally-trained scientists and myself provides the reason for the significant difference in results.

It is also interesting to note that the very essence of the present teaching system so conforms the students’ minds that they were not and are not shocked as I am by the conventional-teaching statement described above.

I do not write these observations to offend the reader; quite the contrary: I write them because I care very deeply about the future of humanity.

I repeat: It is my deep, caring belief that in future years, our descendants will view these times as the true “Dark Ages,” whereby they will state:

*“My parents and ancestors were taught in such a manner that the natural curiosity with which they were born was significantly stifled; whereas we are taught in such a manner that our natural curiosity birthright is stimulated and not stifled.”*

In effect, I believe that future generations will actually feel sorry for their ancestors. If you have grown children, perhaps you can recall the excitement of mental anticipation that was mirrored in their eyes when they were five years old or less and their birthright curiosity was “as free as the wind.” If you now examine the mature eyes of your children, you will not find the spark of mental excitement, anticipation, and the free birthright of curiosity. What a terrible and ironic misfortune that such a loss of curiosity was bequeathed to them with the best of intentions.

Let me give you another example of this loss:

Imagine if you will, a highly creative individual from another Solar system visiting the planet Earth and conducting the following simple experiment:

The interstellar visitor initially conducts the experiment on an Earthling who is four years of age. The “test experiment” is measured and graded on a scale of 0 to 100 with respect to the innate curiosity of the young Earthling. From one’s observational experience with young children, it is very likely that the young Earthling would achieve a “curiosity score” of perhaps 80 to 90 out of 100. After scoring, the visitor unobtrusively tags the young Earthling for future identification and then proceeds to leave the Earth. Twenty years later, the interstellar visitor returns. The tagged Earthling is now twenty-four years old and the same “curiosity test” is again conducted by the visitor on the same Earthling. In analyzing the new results, the interstellar visitor would be shocked. With a maximum “curiosity score” of 5 to

10 out of 100, the visitor would discover that the Earthling is virtually devoid of curiosity.

The visitor would then wonder: “What tragedy could possibly have happened to the Earthling during the twenty-year period?” Were the visitor to be informed of the nature of the Earth’s present teaching system, the visitor would understand the full extent of the tragedy that the tested Earthling had endured during the past twenty years.

I am certain that the reader is aware that all young children possess immense curiosity. However, because children are so perceptive, they learn quickly and tend to strive for the approval of their peers and especially their loved ones. As a result of good intentions, it is ironic that these children are pressured to forsake their natural birthright of curiosity and only strive to “make the grade,” *i.e.*, to obtain the family/teacher-inspired “A.”

Being perceptive, children quickly learn that the *name of the game is memorization*. Like a parrot, the more precisely the child can quote or mimic what is “taught,” the better the grade obtained and the greater the approval from teachers, peers, and especially loved ones. The impressionable child clearly learns **not** to question or honestly challenge what the teachers present in class, since this results in only reprisal from her/his peers and sometimes even from her/his teachers.

As a result of this non-educational process, the child strives only to “make the A,” forsakes the natural birthright of curiosity, fails to truthfully question what is taught, and, with time, subtly loses a beautiful, glimmering light from her/his eyes.

I sincerely and caringly ask that all individuals on this planet teach their children by the following method:

- (1) Encourage your children not to memorize data but rather to *learn the essence* of many different subjects. Moreover, *the more they learn, the more they can question!*
- (1) It is most important for the parent to encourage and highly commend the honest questioning of such varied subjects. One should not question for the purpose of disruption, but rather question with the honesty and integrity of one’s natural birthright. *Children should diligently seek to answer their own honest questions if a satisfactory answer is not provided by others.*
- (3) Instruct your children to honestly question throughout their lives, since only then are they truly free human beings. With this healthy attitude throughout their lives, such children will find truth as well as maintain the excitement and light in their eyes to reflect their intelligent, questioning mind.
- (4) Teach your children to strive to understand the essence of all that they experience. Teach them to

be “humble” and sensitive to the fact that the more they learn, the more they become aware of how much there is to know. Therefore, teach them to continuously learn and question.

I am certain of the following: If all children were taught as I have described, then dictators and crooked politicians could not exist and continue to perpetrate themselves.

Questioning individuals would maintain an account of the promises of politicians and also have the means to maintain a permanent record of elected politicians’ performances. If they discovered that the politician lied to them, such questioning individuals would quickly initiate a petition of such magnitude that they would recall and evict the lying politician from office. The same pro-

---

***“Subconsciously, you already know that these material items are replaceable, but the memories you cherish are not replaceable.”***

---

cedure would apply to inept elected judges. Where the judges are appointed, then the politicians who appointed them would be held accountable.

Honest and questioning individuals will question all subjects and problems they encounter; more importantly, they will earnestly seek to efficiently solve such problems. As a result, such educated inhabitants of a planet would have very few difficulties since encountered problems would be quickly solved.

In essence, it is my purpose to teach all inhabitants of this Earth to “THINK.” The balance of this Book will so verify this fact.

It is imperative that the present teaching system change to a format consisting of honest, questioning individuals devoted to discovering “Truth” above all else. World Peace can result from the efforts of the planetary inhabitants so taught.

Continue to read this Book and please open your mind for the purpose of seeking the “Absolute Truth.” Please do not blindly accept what I teach, but, on the contrary — question what I teach and question what you have been taught by others. I specifically ask that you do the following: *Truthfully question everything, discarding that which is “false” while adhering to and teaching your children what is “true.”*

### **The Formula for Happiness**

**Despite the best of intentions, it is ironic that adults and the teaching system instill in children the view that the pursuit and acquisition of material wealth (money or its equivalent) will bring forth happiness to them and their family.**

**Such a teaching is totally false!** I urge you to prove this falsehood to yourself. Consider for a pleasurable

moment the events that have occurred in your life and search your mind for those events that generate a warm and tender feeling within yourself.

I can predict the nature of your memories. They will consist of thoughts concerning your mother, father, brothers, sisters, grandmother, grandfather, other relatives, friends, or even an animal — thoughts involving your efforts to contribute to the well-being of another, and their efforts to contribute to your well-being. Although you may not have reflected on these meaningful memories for years, you nevertheless experience the inner warmth of those memories and even now tears may come to your eyes.

Please observe that it is *not* the memory of material objects that you recall — you are not remembering the first bicycle, skates, automobile, house, boat, or plane that you received. Subconsciously, you already know that these material items are replaceable, but the memories you cherish are not replaceable.

What is the “Truth” of which I speak?

As you will find, my interests go far beyond the energy machine I have innovated. I wish to strive for the improvement of all humanity in a very fundamental manner.

The following is a song I wrote several years ago concerning this “Truth”: [Even if you do not appreciate this song, or find it “trite,” please sing this song to your children. They will appreciate it.]

#### SUBCONSCIOUS MIND

*Oh, Subconscious mind, tell me the truth  
Where does it lie, the truth of life that I seek*

*Even as a child receiving toys  
And as an adult receiving ever more expensive toys  
I have anticipated them all with great joy  
But perpetually, once received, the joy has always  
disappeared from me*

*Oh, subconscious mind, where do the memories lie,  
so dear to me*

*Always it has been a close and personal tie  
Relative, friend or other, where we have always been  
of benefit  
to one another*

*Oh, subconscious mind, tell me the truth  
Where does it lie, the truth of life that I seek*

*Personal gain, is well and good, so long as it is shared  
as it should*

*This is how, I shall live my life, for it will end much of  
my inner strife*

*Oh, subconscious mind, where do the memories lie,  
so dear to me*

*Sing this song, so others may know  
Where the truth of life belongs, that they should surely seek  
And may these thoughts make them smile, and feel  
good inside,*

*For all of life’s while*

*Oh, subconscious mind, subconscious mind, if I pay attention  
You can be so kind*

*Joseph Westley Newman*

Written by: Joseph Westley Newman  
© Copyrighted, 1979, February 25,  
by Joseph W. Newman

Let's examine another fact that occurred in the 1960's which constitutes further proof concerning the "Truth" of which I speak.

During the 1960's, hippie communities began appearing throughout several areas of the country. This occurrence in itself is not the point. *The significant fact is that many of the members of these hippie communities were from wealthy families.*

QUESTION: Why would children from affluent families leave home to live simply and without the material wealth into which they were born?

The answer is obvious. Such children had parents who were often too busy to offer them the love the children required and were offered instead material goods as substitutes for love. In response, the children recognized the unfair nature of this exchange and therefore rejected wealth in favor of the hippie communities' promises that love would be theirs for the asking.

In essence, those children born into wealth knew that the teaching which states, "Material wealth brings happiness," is a *falsehood*. They therefore sought happiness in a place essentially devoid of any material wealth.

It is ironic that children poor in material wealth but rich in family love often leave such love when they become adults and devote their lives to the acquisition of material wealth. Such occurs because these children have been taught that material wealth would (magically) make them happy. However, because they may have forsaken the "Truth" of which I write, such wealthy children from poor families become very unhappy. Subconsciously, they know that they have spent their lives in the pursuit of a *falsehood*.

FURTHER PROOF:

Offer a child (four years old or younger) who has received ample love from her or his parents the following:

The child can have all the material goods in the world but never see her or his parents again.

You will find that the young child will alarmingly scream that he/she "chooses the parents." It is in-

---

***"Only caring and sharing  
human beings can bring happiness!"***

---

teresting that the loved child knows the "Truth" of which I write. This is because the young child has not been taught to accept the *falsehood* that material wealth would (magically) bring happiness.

The following proof is based on my observations of 48 years. This proof gives me great concern since I do not desire to contribute to the unhappy trend which I have observed.

When I was a boy, most families were financially what we would consider poor by today's standards. I have observed, however, that the love, devotion, and happiness within the poor families was considerably greater than the love, devotion, and happiness (or absence

thereof) which I observe within the families of this point in history.

These facts tell me that as creative technology advanced to reduce the drudgery and hardships of life, then love and happiness between family members decreased. This alarming trend would appear to violate common sense. A questioning mind will instantly realize that something in this formula of life is *wrong*.

What is wrong is the teaching that "material wealth will make one happy." This simply is not true! Only caring and sharing human beings can bring happiness! The achievement of material wealth should be sought only as a means to accentuate one's ability to care and share with others. Material goods are only capable of reducing life's drudgery for you and your loved ones. But in themselves, material goods do absolutely *nothing* to bring forth happiness.

EXAMPLE:

Place within a mansion replete with material wealth a married couple who do not "love" or "like" one another. If they are forced to spend most of their time within the walls of the mansion, it becomes a "prison" to the minds of that couple.

Place a man and woman who deeply "love" and "like" one another within the walls of a modest wooden house in the forest — a house containing minimum material wealth. *To the minds of this man and woman, the plain wooden house becomes a "home."*

If I can only instill within you the "Truth" of which I wish you to understand!

After years of dedicated effort on my part, the energy machine that I have innovated ***will mean nothing*** unless it serves to truly increase the happiness of all humanity. Please recognize the "Truth" of what I write in order that I not view my life's work to be a total waste.

With these same thoughts in mind, I will now comment on Marriage.

Most marriages are unsuccessful because children who become adults do not understand the "Truth" of life or marriage, since they have not been taught the "Truth" which I have referred to. A solid foundation for a meaningful marriage is simple.

EXAMPLE:

If a girl is considering marrying a boy, she should ask herself the following question: "If he were a girl, would I like her as a friend?" A boy should ask himself a similar question about his prospective bride: "If she were a boy, would I like him as a friend?"

You need only consider the true, close friends of your life to realize what this means. Please note that a true friend has things in common with you and both of you are sensitive to one another's problems.

If potential spouses have common "likes" and "dislikes," then they will be sensitive to one another's needs and endeavor as much as possible to participate together in many different activities.

Regrettably, many marriages are based not on “love” but rather “infatuation.” Within such a marriage, a couple may initially perceive themselves to be in love, even though they do not know or understand one another’s “true” likes and dislikes. After the flame of such “infatuation” diminishes, the “true” likes and dislikes of each become clearly known to one another. Such a cou-

---

***“True love represents, in essence,  
an accumulation of many small things  
over a ‘long time span’.”***

---

ple may unfortunately discover that they do not even like one another. Either divorce or an unhappy marriage will then ensue.

Ironically, such an unhappy marriage is not really the couple’s fault, since they have not been taught the “Truth” of what represents the basic and solid foundation of a good marriage: *Spouses must have things in common.* If they share in these and other things, then the love will grow throughout their lives.

True love — between a mother, daughter, son or father, man or woman, brother, sister, or grandparents — represents in essence *an accumulation of many small things over a “long time span.”*

The following is a song I wrote several years ago concerning this “Truth” of which I write: [Again, even if you do not appreciate this song, please sing it to your children. They will value the song and the fact that you are singing it to them.]

#### LASTING LOVE

*Lasting Love, is not a flash in the pan  
Lasting Love, is holding your hand  
Lasting Love, is sharing, unspoken words  
Lasting Love, is accumulation, of many small things,  
over a long time span*

*Lasting Love, is not a flash in the pan  
Lasting Love, is sticking together through thick and thin  
Lasting Love, is pulling together, until we win  
Lasting Love, is accumulation, of many small things,  
over a long time span*

*Lasting Love, is not a flash in the pan  
Lasting Love, is caring and sharing  
Lasting Love, is forgiving, when we misunderstand  
Lasting Love, is accumulation, of many small things,  
over a long time span*

*Lasting Love, is not a flash in the pan  
Lasting Love, is doing together all we can  
Lasting Love, makes friends, of each other  
Lasting Love, is accumulation, of many small things,  
over a long time span*

Joseph Westley Newman

Written by: Joseph Westley Newman  
© Copyrighted, 1980, May 22,  
by Joseph W. Newman

I ask that you teach your children the following to enable them to become content within themselves:

- (1) One of the most important accomplishments in their lives is to be able to provide a positive and favorable response to the question: “What do I really think of myself?”

Everyone in the world can tell you that you are great, but if you fail to experience within yourself wholeness, inner happiness, contentment, peace, love and the knowledge that you are doing your best to contribute to the future advancement of humanity, then you will still be unhappy.

However, if you experience a positive feeling within yourself with respect to these qualities, then you can endure the whole world thinking negatively about you. This occurs because you have a positive opinion of yourself. Moreover, you know that you are right and that the rest of the world is wrong.

- (2) The only elements in life continuously experienced on a daily basis which do not become boring are “true love” and Constructive Accomplish-

---

***“Therefore, dedicate yourself to pursuing the  
quality of life and not the quantity of life!”***

---

ment or effort. These are the essences of life which are capable of fulfilling your being!

All other pursuits of a more egotistical or selfish nature become boring when done on a continuous, day-by-day, month-by-month, or year-by-year basis: sitting on a yacht, fishing, hunting, skiing, swimming, eating, receiving material gifts, sex, etc.

- (3) Those things in life which you find exciting or fun mean so much more when shared with someone you love and who loves you in return: a beautiful sunset or sunrise, stars in the night sky, beautiful mountains and valleys, forests and flowers, rivers and oceans, or entertainment and accomplishment.

*Therefore, dedicate yourself to pursuing the quality of life and not the quantity of life.* The former fulfills your inner being and the latter deceives and leaves your inner being unfulfilled.